Manhood 2.0 — Pittsburgh's Gender-Norms Programme for Adolescent Boys Shows No Effect on Sexual-Violence Perpetration (Cautionary Cluster RCT)

Gender Equality · Good practice · United States of America

Quality score: 41/100

Evidence strength: Moderate

Summary

Cluster RCT in 21 Pittsburgh neighbourhood clusters (866 boys aged 13–19) comparing Manhood 2.0, a US adaptation of Program H, with job-readiness training. Perpetration fell in both arms; no significant difference (aOR 1.32, 95% CI 0.86–2.01).

Key dimensions

Dimension	Score
Transferability / replicability	2/3
Impact on gender equality	0/1
Effectiveness	0/1
Efficiency	0/1
Evaluated outcomes	1/1
Sustainability	0/1
Achievement / evidence	0/1
Gender-mainstreaming embedding	1/1
Curator validation	1/1

Evaluated by C-NAPSE

Data sources

C-NAPSE web research — accessed 2026-11-18

University of Pittsburgh (Elizabeth Miller et al.) with Promundo-US (now Equimundo) — accessed 2026-11-18

Miller et al. 2020, JAMA Network Open — Manhood 2.0 cluster RCT — accessed 2026-11-18

Full text on PubMed Central (PMC7756236) — accessed 2026-11-18

ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02427061 — accessed 2026-11-18

Cite this — Evidoria. *Manhood 2.0 — Pittsburgh's Gender-Norms Programme for Adolescent Boys Shows No Effect on Sexual-Violence Perpetration (Cautionary Cluster RCT)*. Persistent ID: 6e3f22f1-41af-44bc-842e-710ff7fa32f7.