

Rekenkamer Utrecht's Algorithm Audit — 45 Algorithms in Use, Five Examined, One Running Without the Human Oversight Policy Requires

AI in the Public Sector · Good practice · Netherlands

Quality score: 60/100

Evidence strength: Moderate

Summary

A December 2025 audit by the Utrecht Court of Audit examined five of the city's 45 algorithms and found guidelines unevenly followed, one algorithm lacking required human oversight, and register information not specific enough.

Key dimensions

Dimension	Score
Evidence of impact / measured public value	2/3
Transparency, fairness & accountability	2/3
Transferability / demonstrated replication	2/3
Scalability beyond pilot	1/3
Governance, capability & sustainability	2/3

Evaluated by C-NAPSE

Data sources

[C-NAPSE web research](#) — accessed 2026-10-03

[Rekenkamer Utrecht \(Utrecht Court of Audit\)](#) — accessed 2026-10-03

[RTV Utrecht — Rekenkamer: gemeente Utrecht gaat niet goed genoeg om met algoritmes](#) — accessed 2026-10-03

[Binnenlands Bestuur — Risicobeheersing algoritmes in Utrecht moet beter](#) — accessed 2026-10-03

[Gemeente Utrecht in het Algoritmeregister](#) — accessed 2026-10-03

Cite this — Evidoria. *Rekenkamer Utrecht's Algorithm Audit — 45 Algorithms in Use, Five Examined, One Running Without the Human Oversight Policy Requires*. Persistent ID: fc16e02d-1a79-47c9-94df-9e1e088e8c02.