

When the AI Detector Is Wrong — A Disability-Discrimination Lawsuit Over the University of Michigan's AI-Misconduct Process

AI in Education · Good practice · United States of America

Pending C-NAPSE evaluation

Evidence strength: Pending evaluation

Summary

An undergraduate with documented anxiety and OCD, whose formal writing style was flagged by a 'circular' AI-comparison method, is suing the University of Michigan, alleging disability discrimination after disciplinary sanctions blocked their graduation.

Key dimensions

Dimension	Score
Evidence of learning impact	0/3
Equity & inclusion	0/3
Transparency, ethics & data protection	0/3
Teacher capability & pedagogy	0/3
Scalability & sustainability	0/3

Evaluated by C-NAPSE

Data sources

[C-NAPSE web research](#) — accessed 2026-08-20

[University of Michigan \(defendant\); U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan](#) — accessed 2026-08-20

[GovTech coverage](#) — accessed 2026-08-20

[CBS News Detroit coverage](#) — accessed 2026-08-20

Cite this — Evidoria. *When the AI Detector Is Wrong — A Disability-Discrimination Lawsuit Over the University of Michigan's AI-Misconduct Process*. Persistent ID: cf1b2397-c04f-4ec7-a236-a6ba1051ec6c.